United States District Court Southern District of Texas

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ENTERED

July 10, 2019

David J. Bradley, Clerk

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America)
v.)
A.I. D. OI) Case No. H-19-447
Adon Bryce Clary Defendant	
Dejenaani	,
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuan	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
$\frac{\overline{X}}{X}$ Motion of the Government or Court's own r	
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	v as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C presumption that no condition or combination of cond and the community because the following conditions I	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the fol	llowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum se	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been co	nvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim	
	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convicte	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release	pending trial for a Federal. State, or local offense; and

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case 4:19-cr-00447 Document 13 Filed on 07/03/19 in TXSD Page 2 of 3

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
$\underline{\underline{X}}$ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
X History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

Case 4:19-cr-00447 Document 13 Filed on 07/03/19 in TXSD Page 3 of 3 Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents X Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The defendant is charged by indictment with robbery of a postal carrier using a firearm. The defendant did not interview with pretrial services and he waived his detention hearing. Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: July 3, 2019 United States Magistrate Judge